Scale Contrast (κ): Relative Engagement Causes Change

Author: William P. Robinson

Date: April 27, 2025

License: CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract

Scale contrast (κ), a geometric framework where all physical dynamics emerge from relational disparities in spatial expression, quantified by observable ratios (velocity-to-light-speed v/c and distance-to-radius d/R). Eliminating forces, gravitational constants (G), and absolute light speed (c), κ-theory unifies orbital motion, terrestrial weight, quantum cohesion, and cosmological phenomena through a single dimensionless parameter: $\kappa = (v/c)^2 \cdot (d/R)$. This paper will offer an explanation to the mechanics of "change".

k is primal, in recognition of consistent contrast.

The concept of change is foundational in both science and philosophy, yet it is typically treated as a given, not as a phenomenon to be explained. Physics describes how change occurs, through equations of motion and field dynamics, but does not address why change is possible or necessary. This paper proposes that change is a direct consequence of spatial contrast (κ), offering a unifying explanation that does not rely on time, mass, or force. As such, it aims to provide a first-principles account of change itself-a topic largely unexplored in quantitative science.

"Scale Blindness": The Necessary Veil for Change

"Scale blindness" is not a defect—it is the condition that allows change to be perceived.

In κ-theory, "scale blindness" refers to the inherent inability of any observer to perceive absolute scales (size, mass, energy) directly. Instead, we only detect "contrasts', ratios between distances (d/R), velocities (v/c), or other measurable quantities. This blindness is not a limitation but a "fundamental requirement" for dynamics to exist.

Thought Experiment

Imagine two TVs: one 100 inches square, the other 10 inches square. Both display the same show, but the larger TV shows events unfolding over a vast area, faster and farther apart compared to the smaller TV. Despite the disparity in scale, the ratio of events remains constant. A show will be expressed in synchronicity. However the disparity of scale demands expression. Expression in space is always distance. The scale expressed by planets will reveal their relationship to a contrasting scale of reception Some planets will move relatively faster and farther, and of course, some will move relatively slower and closer apart, as we look through the screen of our "TV'.

κ-Formula for Planetary Motion

Mercury: $r = 5.79 \times 10^7$ km, $\kappa \approx 2.551 \times 10^{-8}$, $v \approx \sqrt{(2.551 \times 10^{-8})} \times 3 \times 10^5 \approx 47.925$ km/s (:47.87 km/s, 0.12% discrepancy).

Venus: $r = 1.082 \times 10^8$ km, $\kappa \approx 1.365 \times 10^{-8}$, $v \approx 35.034$ km/s (35.02 km/s, 0.04%).

Earth: $r = 1.496 \times 10^8$ km, $\kappa \approx 9.917 \times 10^{-9}$, $v \approx 29.874$ km/s (29.78 km/s, 0.32%).

Mars: $r = 2.279 \times 10^8$ km, $\kappa \approx 6.469 \times 10^{-9}$, $v \approx 24.129$ km/s (24.13 km/s, 0.004%).

Jupiter: $r = 7.785 \times 10^8$ km, $\kappa \approx 1.894 \times 10^{-9}$, $v \approx 13.056$ km/s (13.07 km/s, 0.11%).

Saturn: $r = 1.429 \times 10^9$ km, $\kappa \approx 1.032 \times 10^{-9}$, $v \approx 9.654$ km/s (9.68 km/s, 0.27%).

Uranus: $r = 2.871 \times 10^9$ km, $\kappa \approx 5.141 \times 10^{-10}$, $v \approx 6.782$ km/s (6.80 km/s, 0.26%).

Neptune: $r = 4.498 \times 10^9$ km, $\kappa \approx 3.279 \times 10^{-10}$, $v \approx 5.433$ km/s (5.43 km/s, 0.06%).

Pluto: $r = 5.906 \times 10^9$ km, $\kappa \approx 2.497 \times 10^{-10}$, $v \approx 4.736$ km/s (4.74 km/s, 0.08%).

Mass of planets do not enter the equation, "time"" doesnt offer function. κ, rooted in spatial contrast, resolves into v with c as the mediator, aligning with known orbits (e.g., NASA JPL).

The disparity offered to distance, as in orbits, arises from what we see from our scale of relative reference. Every expression and reference is relative, and demands disparity expressed.

Key Steps to Calculate

1. Choose a reference κ: Assume $\kappa \approx 1$ for orbits, or $\kappa \approx 6.96e\text{-}10$ for surface gravity.

2. Plug in observed values: Use R_star (Sun's radius) for orbits, or R_planet for gravity.

3. Solve for unknowns: Linear formulas avoid nested exponents for clarity.

Simplified Summary

- Orbits: `v = sqrt(κ  c^2  (R_star / d))` → Only Sun's radius (R_sun) and distance (d) matter.

- Gravity: `g = κ  (c^2 / R_planet)` → No mass or G needed.

The κ-framework reveals that every instance of change-regardless of scale, context, or complexity-is governed by a single geometric principle: spatial contrast. Whether twisting a bottle cap off a jar or observing the evaporation of a black hole, the process is fundamentally the same. Change arises from the resolution of contrast, and energy serves as the metric for the magnitude of that change.

In the everyday act of opening a bottle, the contrast exists between the twisted cap and the resisting threads of the jar. The process unfolds as the spatial disparity is resolved, resulting in a measurable change-energy expended to overcome the resistance. On the cosmic scale, Hawking radiation emerges from the contrast at a black hole's event horizon, where the difference between what is trapped and what can escape drives the emission of high-energy quanta. As the black hole shrinks, the spatial contrast intensifies, and the magnitude of change (energy of emitted radiation) increases accordingly.

In both scenarios, κ quantifies the relational disparity, and energy-change is the observable outcome. This perspective unifies the mundane and the cosmic under a single, dimensionless law: all change is a function of spatial contrast, and energy is the measure of its magnitude**. Thus, the κ-framework offers a universal explanation for change, bridging the gap between the familiar and the extraordinary, and demonstrating that the principles governing the smallest actions in daily life are the same as those shaping the fate of the universe.

κ-theory "Bottle Caps to Black Holes"

1. Twisting a Bottle Cap (Macroscopic κ-Contrast)

κ-Contrast Parameters

- Reference Radius (R): Bottle neck radius (~1.5 cm).

- Disparity (d): Cap twist distance (~0.5 cm per quarter-turn).

- Velocity (v): Hand rotation speed (~10 cm/s).

κ-Formula

kappa = (v/c)^2 * (d/R)

Plugging in:

kappa ≈ (10 cm/s / 3e10 cm/s)^2 * (0.5 cm / 1.5 cm) ≈ 3.7e-22

Resolution of Contrast

- The cap resists twisting due to thread friction (microscale κ-disparity between cap/bottle grooves).

- Energy expended (work) is the spatial resolution of this contrast:

W ≈ kappa (c^2/R) * d (negligible for human scales, but structurally analogous to cosmic phenomena).

Key Insight

The cap moves not from "force over time" but because the spatial disparity (d/R) demands resolution at the given hand-speed ratio (v/c).

2. Black Hole Evaporation (Cosmic κ-Contrast)

κ-Contrast Parameters

- Reference Radius (R): Schwarzschild radius (Rs = 2GM/c^2).

- Disparity (d): Planck-scale fluctuations (~1.6e-35 m).

- Velocity (v): Virtual particle escape velocity (~c).

κ-Formula

kappa ≈ (v/c)^2 * (d/Rs) ≈ 1 * (1.6e-35 m / Rs)

For a solar-mass black hole (Rs ≈ 3 km):

kappa ≈ 5.3e-39

Resolution of Contrast (Hawking Radiation

- The κ-disparity between horizon scale (Rs) and quantum fluctuations (d) resolves via particle emission.

- Energy of emitted radiation (Hawking temperature):

T_H ∝ kappa * (c^2/Rs) (matches standard Hawking formula).

Key Insight

The black hole "evaporates" not from "time passing" but because the κ-ratio at its horizon is irreconcilable without quanta escaping.

Unified Principle

Both examples obey the same κ-law:

1. Bottle cap: Macroscopic κ resolved by motion (twisting).

2. Black hole: Quantum κ resolved by radiation (tunneling).

- No "time" or "forces": Only disparities in scale (d/R) and motion (v/c).

Relative Substantiation

The "relative notion" -that all positions, properties, and experiences are defined only in relation to others-*demands* the existence of contrast as a fundamental condition for reality and change. Here's how this necessity unfolds:

- Relativity Requires Contrast: In physics, relativity asserts that measurements of space, time, and motion are meaningful only when compared between reference frames[1][5]. There is no absolute position or time; only the *difference* (contrast) between observers or events gives rise to observable phenomena. Without contrast, relativity would have nothing to relate-there would be no basis for measurement or experience.

- Disparity of Now: Because each observer's "now" is defined by their unique position and motion relative to others, there is always an inherent incongruence-a "disparity of now"[5]. This disparity is not a flaw but a *requirement*: it is what allows different observers to experience events differently, and thus for change to be observed and defined.

Relational Change Emerges from Contrast: Change is not the evolution of an absolute state, but the continual reconfiguration of contrasts and disparities between relational points. The universe's dynamics-whether in social systems[8], perception[3], or fundamental physics[1][5]-arise from the ongoing resolution and re-expression of these contrasts.

The relative nature of reality *demands* contrast and disparity as preconditions for change. Without contrast, there can be no relational difference, no "now" to distinguish from "then," and thus no possibility for change to occur. Change is, at its core, the continual negotiation of contrast within a fundamentally relational universe[1][3][5].

Conclusion

Change is in the eye of the beholder, but the gaze is always offered to contrast. Change is a relational expression. Contrast is our environment (Field).

Contrast doesn't emerge, as the same never existed. Change emerges within a relational engagement.

"Scale blindness" is relational. Allowing the concepts of causality, and a subjective experience of time. "The Universe can't agree on now"

Contrast is a binary function offering a singular expression.

Citations:

[1] DOE Explains...Relativity - Department of Energy https://www.energy.gov/science/doe-explainsrelativity

[2] Simultaneous Contrast: Relativity of Color - YouTube https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MI-KrvHc79M

[3] Gamut relativity: A new computational approach to brightness and ... https://jov.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2121158

[4] A More Mature Palette: Color Accessibility in Aero UI | Relativity Blog https://www.relativity.com/blog/more-mature-palette-color-accessibility-aero-ui/

[5] Relativity, communication and reference frames [closed] https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/754664/relativity-communication-and-reference-frames

[6] Review of Relational Inequalities: An Organizational Approach, with ... https://familyinequality.wordpress.com/2019/03/18/review-of-relational-inequalities-an-organizational-approach-with-audio/

[7] [PDF] RELATIVITY AND CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT - SciTePress https://www.scitepress.org/Papers/2011/33792/33792.pdf

[8] The Relational Work of Systems Change https://ssir.org/articles/entry/the_relational_work_of_systems_change